



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/590,741

08/25/2006

Yukinori Suda

P/1878-196

1227

2352 7590 09/18/2008
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NY 100368403

EXAMINER

MAPA, MICHAEL Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/18/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/590,741	SUDA, YUKINORI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Mapa	2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 27-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 27-56 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/25/07, 03/02/07</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 08/25/06 & 03/02/07 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 34, and 56 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. With regards to claims 34 and 56, the claims are written exactly as the claims they are dependent from namely (claim 34 dependent on 33 and claim 56 dependent on 55). The examiner does not interpret the claims as further limiting and as such is objected to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. With regards to claims 44-47, the applicant is claiming a program used in the radio base station and terminal device without the program being stored in a computer readable medium therefore is an abstract idea and

Art Unit: 2617

is directed towards non-statutory subject matter. The examiner suggests the applicant to rewrite the claim to: "A computer readable medium storing a program". The examiner will interpret the claims to be written as such for the purpose of the rejection provided below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 27-31, 33-35, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 48-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suda et al. (US Patent Publication 20030224775 herein after referenced as Suda).

Regarding claim 27, Suda discloses “a mobile communication system including a plurality of radio base stations and a terminal device that can connect with said radio base stations” (Paragraph [0038]). Suda discloses “deterioration detection means, in a state that said terminal device handovers from a first radio base station to a second radio base station and performs communication through a path which passes through said first radio base station, for detecting deterioration in a communication state between said terminal device and said second radio base station;” (Paragraphs [0064] & [0102], wherein Suda discloses comparing reception characteristics such as a power

Art Unit: 2617

level with a preset threshold and wherein when the power level is the preset threshold or below, starts a search for a new radio base station and judges whether or not to connect to (hand-over) the new base station and wherein Suda discloses making the data buffered by the radio station formerly connected to, to be transferred to the new radio base station, therefore a path which passes through said first radio base station.) Suda discloses “distribution means, when said terminal device performs a handover to a radio base station, caused by that the deterioration in said communication state is detected,” (Paragraph [0064], wherein Suda discloses transmitting a suppression signal, going into power saving mode and searching for a new radio base station to be connected to). Suda discloses “in addition to the packets addressed to said terminal device, which start to be buffered by said first radio base station before said terminal device performs the handover and are buffered in said first radio base station after the handover of said terminal device is completed,” (Paragraph [0051], wherein Suda discloses buffering the received frames when the operation mode of the radio terminal is judged to be the power saving mode). Suda discloses “for distributing packets addressed to said terminal device, which are newly received, to said terminal device through said newly connected radio base station, in an order of reception,” (Paragraph [0102], wherein Suda discloses data buffered by the radio base station formerly connected to transferred to the new radio base station.) Suda discloses “a third radio base station” and “wherein, upon the handover of said terminal, said first radio base station switches a radio base station which serves as a destination of the packet” (Paragraph [0100], wherein Suda also discloses the possibility to selectively switch

Art Unit: 2617

three radio base stations or more and to inform the base station of the result of the base station search and to leave the judgment to the radio base station.)

Regarding claim 28, Suda discloses “the mobile communication system according to claim 27, wherein said deterioration detection means is configured to determine deterioration in said communication state by a detection result of a signal reception power” (Paragraph [0064], wherein Suda discloses comparing reception characteristics and gives the example of a received power level.)

Regarding claim 29, Suda discloses “the mobile communication system according to claim 27, wherein said deterioration detection means is configured to determine deterioration in said communication state by a bit error rate.” (Paragraph [0057])

Regarding claim 30, Suda discloses “the mobile communication system according to claim 27, wherein said terminal device is provided with said deterioration detection means.” (Fig. 4, Paragraph [0056], wherein Suda discloses the terminal device to have a MAC control unit 610 that has a reception characteristics monitoring unit.)

Regarding claim 31, Suda discloses “the mobile communication system according to claim 27, wherein said radio base station is provided with said deterioration detection means.” (Paragraph [0047], wherein Suda discloses the first MAC control unit 410 further informs the radio terminal monitoring unit 450 of interruption when the received power level is a preset threshold or less.)

Regarding claim 33, Suda discloses “the mobile communication system according to claim 27, wherein said terminal device has change means for changing a radio base station to which the terminal device is going to perform a handover, to another radio base station, in accordance with a result of researching a communication state with another radio base station.” (Paragraph [0064], wherein Suda discloses the MAC control unit 610 with its reception characteristics monitoring unit, judging whether or not to be connected to the new radio base station.)

Regarding claim 34, Suda discloses “the mobile communication system according to claim 33.” the examiner rejects claim 34 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 33)

Regarding claim 35, Suda discloses “a radio base station that is used while being connected to a terminal device, comprising: deterioration detection means for detecting deterioration in a communication state with said terminal device;” (Fig. 2 Paragraph [0047]). Suda discloses “detection means for detecting whether or not packets addressed to said terminal device are forwarded from another radio base station;” (Paragraph [0100], wherein Suda discloses the radio base station to judge which base station the radio terminal makes a connection). Suda discloses “storage means for temporarily storing the packets addressed to said terminal device when the deterioration in the communication state is detected and the packets addressed to said terminal device are not forwarded from another radio base station.” (Paragraph [0046], wherein Suda discloses the frames handed over to the buffer 440.)

Regarding claim 38, Suda discloses “a terminal device that can connect with a plurality of radio base stations, comprising: deterioration detection means for detecting deterioration in a communication state with the radio base stations that are connected;” (Paragraph [0057], wherein Suda discloses a MAC control unit 610 informing the reception characteristics monitoring unit 630 of interruption when the received power level is or below a preset threshold). Suda discloses “detection means for detecting whether or not the packets addressed to said terminal device are forwarded from another radio base station;” (Paragraph [0064], wherein Suda discloses the searching process for the new base station and the MAC control unit 610 decides whether or not to be connected to the new radio base station). Suda discloses “request means for requesting said radio base station to buffer the packets addressed to said terminal device when the deterioration in the communication state is detected and the packets addressed to said terminal device are not forwarded from another radio base station.” (Paragraph [0064], wherein the radio terminal sends a transmission suppress signal (request) when the received power level is or below a preset threshold.)

Regarding claim 40, Suda discloses “the terminal device according to claim 38, wherein said deterioration detection means measures a reception characteristic in a communication with said connected radio base station and detects deterioration in said communication state.” (Paragraph [0057])

Regarding claim 42, Suda discloses “the terminal device according to claim 40, wherein said reception characteristic measured by said deterioration detection means is

Art Unit: 2617

one of a signal reception power from said connected radio base station, a bit error rate, and a packet error rate, or a combination thereof.” (Paragraph [0057])

Regarding claim 44, Suda discloses that the operation of the base station is executed by the software (Paragraph [0068]), in addition the examiner rejects claim 44 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 35)

Regarding claim 46, Suda discloses that the operation of the terminal device is executed by the software (Paragraph [0068]), in addition the examiner rejects claim 46 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 38)

Regarding claim 48, the examiner rejects claim 48 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 27)

Regarding claim 49, Suda discloses “the mobile communication method according to claim 48”, the examiner rejects claim 49 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 28)

Regarding claim 50, Suda discloses “the mobile communication method according to claim 48”, the examiner rejects claim 50 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 29)

Regarding claim 51, Suda discloses “the mobile communication method according to claim 48, wherein said deterioration in the communication state is determined by a packet error rate.” (Paragraph [0057])

Regarding claim 52, Suda discloses “the mobile communication method according to claim 48”, the examiner rejects claim 52 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 30)

Regarding claim 53, Suda discloses “the mobile communication method according to claim 48”, the examiner rejects claim 53 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 31)

Regarding claim 54, Suda discloses “the mobile communication method according to claim 48”, the examiner rejects claim 54 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 32)

Regarding claim 55, Suda discloses “the mobile communication method according to claim 48”, the examiner rejects claim 55 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 33)

Regarding claim 56, Suda discloses “the mobile communication method according to claim 55”, the examiner rejects claim 56 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 34)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 32, 36, 37, 39, 41, 43, 45 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Suda et al. (US Patent Publication 20030224775 herein after referenced as Suda) in view of Rauhala (US Patent 6611547 herein after referenced as Rauhala).

Regarding claim 32, Suda discloses “the mobile communication system according to claim 27.” Suda discloses “request means for requesting a first radio base station buffer the packets addressed to said terminal device before said terminal device performs a handover.” (Paragraph [0064], wherein Suda discloses sending a transmission suppress signal, going into power saving mode before searching for the new radio base station and connecting to the new radio base station.) Suda discloses a radio base station communicating with the new radio base station by sending the buffered data to the new radio base station. (Paragraph [0102]) Suda fails to explicitly recite “said second radio base station makes said first radio base station.” However, the examiner maintains that it is obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Suda to incorporate the method and teachings of Rauhala.

In a similar field of endeavor, Rauhala discloses “said second radio base station makes said first radio base station” (Fig. 2, Column 7, Lines 10-25, wherein Rauhala discloses a first base station sending signaling message to a second base station.)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Suda to incorporate the teachings of Rauhala, the motivation for the combination being to create a better dynamism and utilization of network resources. (Column 3, Lines 13-19 of Rauhala.)

Regarding claim 36, Suda discloses “the radio base station according to claim 35.” Suda discloses “another radio base station to temporarily store the packets addressed to said terminal device when the deterioration in the communication state is detected” (Paragraph [0051] - [0053], wherein Suda discloses buffering the frames

Art Unit: 2617

when the operation mode is in a power saving mode and discloses detecting the operation mode of the terminal device and sending a transmission suppress signal and going into power saving mode when the received power level is below a threshold).

Suda discloses "the packets addressed to said terminal device are forwarded from said another radio base station." (Paragraph [0102], wherein Suda discloses the radio base station is communicating with another base station and transferring the buffered data to the new base station.) Suda fails to explicitly recite "a radio base station requesting another radio base station." However, the examiner maintains that it is obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Suda to incorporate the method and teachings of Rauhala.

In a similar field of endeavor, Rauhala discloses "a radio base station requesting another radio base station" (Fig. 2, Column 7, Lines 10-25, wherein Rauhala discloses a first base station sending signaling message to a second base station.)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Suda to incorporate the teachings of Rauhala, the motivation for the combination being to create a better dynamism and utilization of network resources. (Column 3, Lines 13-19 of Rauhala.)

Regarding claim 37, Suda discloses "the radio base station according to claim 35." Suda discloses "another radio base station to temporarily store the packets addressed to said terminal device" (Paragraphs [0051] - [0053], wherein Suda discloses buffering the frames when the operation mode is in a power saving mode and discloses detecting the operation mode of the terminal device and sending a transmission

Art Unit: 2617

suppress signal (request) and going into power saving mode when the received power level is below a threshold and when it receives a transmission suppress signal.) Suda discloses "a request that another base station temporarily buffers the packets addressed to said terminal device is received from said terminal device" (Paragraph [0064], wherein Suda discloses the radio terminal sending a transmission suppress signal and going into power saving mode when the received power level is and below a threshold.) Suda discloses "the packets addressed to said terminal device are forwarded from said another radio base station." (Paragraph [0102], wherein Suda discloses the radio base station is communicating with another base station and transferring the buffered data to the new base station.)

Suda fails to explicitly recite "a radio base station requesting another radio base station." However, the examiner maintains that it is obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Suda to incorporate the method and teachings of Rauhala.

In a similar field of endeavor, Rauhala discloses "a radio base station requesting another radio base station" (Fig. 2, Column 7, Lines 10-25, wherein Rauhala discloses a first base station sending signaling message to a second base station.)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Suda to incorporate the teachings of Rauhala, the motivation for the combination being to create a better dynamism and utilization of network resources. (Column 3, Lines 13-19 of Rauhala.)

Regarding claim 39, Suda discloses “the terminal device according to claim 38.” Suda discloses “means for requesting said radio base station to buffer the packets addressed to said terminal device when the deterioration in the communication state is detected” (Paragraph [0064], wherein Suda discloses the radio terminal sending a transmission suppress signal and going into power saving mode when the received power level is and below a threshold.) Suda discloses “the packets addressed to said terminal device are forwarded from said another radio base station” (Paragraph [0102], wherein Suda discloses the radio base station communicating with another base station and transferring the buffered data to the new base station.) Suda discloses sending a transmission suppress signal (request) and going into power saving mode when the received power level is below a threshold (Paragraphs [0053]). Suda fails to explicitly recite “said radio base station to ask another base station”. However, the examiner maintains that it is obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Suda to incorporate the method and teachings of Rauhala.

In a similar field of endeavor, Rauhala discloses “said radio base station to ask another base station” (Fig. 2, Column 7, Lines 10-25, wherein Rauhala discloses a first base station sending signaling message to a second base station.)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Suda to incorporate the teachings of Rauhala, the motivation for the combination being to create a better dynamism and utilization of network resources. (Column 3, Lines 13-19 of Rauhala.)

Regarding claim 41, Suda discloses “the terminal device according to claim 39, wherein said deterioration detection means measures a reception characteristic in a communication with said connected radio base station and detects deterioration in said communication state.” (Paragraph [0057])

Regarding claim 43, Suda discloses “the terminal device according to claim 41, wherein said reception characteristic measured by said deterioration detection means is one of a signal reception power from said connected radio base station, a bit error rate, and a packet error rate, or a combination thereof.” (Paragraph [0057])

Regarding claim 45, Suda discloses the computer readable medium according to claim 44 and the examiner rejects claim 45 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 36)

Regarding claim 47, Suda discloses a computer readable medium according to claim 46, and the examiner rejects claim 45 with the same arguments provided above (see claim 39)

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Mapa whose telephone number is (571)270-5540. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY TO THURSDAY 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571)272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Mapa/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/NICK CORSARO/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617